Ultra-pure digital sideband separation at sub-millimeter wavelengths
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ABSTRACT

Context. Deep spectral-line surveys in the mm and sub-mm range can detect thousands of lines per band uncovering the rich chemistry of molecular clouds, star forming regions and circumstellar envelopes, among others objects. The ability to study the faintest features of spectroscopic observation is, nevertheless, limited by a number of factors. The most important are the source complexity (line density), limited spectral resolution and insufficient sideband (image) rejection (SRR). Dual Sideband (2SB) millimeter receivers separate upper and lower sideband rejecting the unwanted image by about 15 dB, but they are difficult to build and, until now, only feasible up to about 500 GHz (equivalent to ALMA Band 8). For example ALMA Bands 9 (602–720 GHz) and 10 (787–950 GHz) are currently DSB receivers.

Aims. This article reports the implementation of an ALMA Band 9 2SB prototype receiver that makes use of a new technique called calibrated digital sideband separation. The new method promises to ease the manufacturing of 2SB receivers, dramatically increase sideband rejection and allow 2SB instruments at the high frequencies currently covered only by Double Sideband (DSB) or bolometric detectors.

Methods. We made use of a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) and fast Analog to Digital Converters (ADCs) to measure and calibrate the receivers front end phase and amplitude imbalances to achieve sideband separation beyond the possibilities of purely analog receivers. The technique could in principle allow the operation of 2SB receivers even when only imbalanced front ends can be built, particularly at very high frequencies.

Results. This digital 2SB receiver shows an average sideband rejection of 45.9 dB while small portions of the band drop below 40 dB. The performance is 27 dB (a factor of 500) better than the average performance of the proof-of-concept Band 9 purely-analog 2SB prototype receiver developed by SRON.

Conclusions. We demonstrate that this technique has the potential of implementing 2SB receivers at frequencies where no such instruments exists, as well as improving the image rejection of current millimeter 2SB receivers to a level where sideband contamination is so low that would become negligible for any known astronomical source.
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1. Introduction

Astronomy is one of the main drivers of high-frequency radio technology. Astronomical radio receivers have the most demanding specifications since they require very low noise, high stability and extremely large bandwidths. The ALMA observatory is the pinnacle example of such a technology driver. It has implemented 66 radio telescopes, each one with 10 observing bands covering frequencies from 35 to 950 GHz, fractional bandwidths ranging from 20 to 35%, and receiver noise temperatures just a few times above the absolute quantum limit (Wootten & Thompson 2009).

Modern single dish telescopes and arrays commonly have large collecting areas which, together with low receiver noise and high/dry locations, produce exceptional sensitivities allowing scientists to probe deeper than ever in the faintest spectroscopic features of astronomical sources. In fact, deep spectral line millimeter surveys can detect thousands of lines per band uncovering the rich chemistry of molecular clouds, star forming regions and circumstellar envelopes, among others objects (Cernicharo et al. 2013; Patel et al. 2011). For example, in 2010, Tercero, B. et al. (2010), reported the detection of more than 14400 spectral lines in Orion-KL, observing the 80–115.5 GHz, 130–178 GHz, and 197–281 GHz bands. Lines intensities ranged from around 10 mK to almost 100 K, i.e. an intensity ratio of almost 10,000 or 40 dB.

The ability to study the faintest features of spectroscopic observation is, nevertheless, limited by a number of factors. The most important are the source complexity (line density), limited spectral resolution, and insufficient sideband (image) rejection. These conditions set what is known as the confusion limit, i.e. the level below which the merging of a huge number of weak lines makes impossible any further discrimination.

For observing complex sources, as the one presented in figure 1, it is desirable to have single sideband (SSB) or, ideally, 2SB receivers, with SRR in excess of 30 dB and preferably above 40 dB. Despite the clear importance of having high sideband rejection for deep spectroscopic surveys, the specifications set by ALMA for its bands 1 to 8 is only 7 dB across the band, and 10 dB for the 80% of the band (Wild & Payne 2001; Wild et al. 2002). The reason for requiring what might seems a low
performance was based on the extreme difficulty of achieving higher suppressions, due to the need of keeping a flat phase and amplitude response, across wide bands at high frequencies.

Until recently 2SB receivers were only available at relatively low frequencies, below 300 GHz. ALMA pushed that limit, requiring its Band 8 (500 GHz) to be sideband separating. Nevertheless, ALMA receivers above 600 GHz (Bands 9 and 10) are DSB and, therefore, have limited capabilities to disentangle sources with a high line density, and a reduced sensitivity due to the noise contribution from the sideband.

A new technique that promises to ease 2SB receivers manufacturing as well as dramatically increase the sideband rejection performance has been proposed by (Morgan & Fisher 2010) and implemented by (Finger et al. 2013). In this approach the IF hybrid is removed, replaced by two Analog-to-Digital Converters (ADC), and implemented in-digital within a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA). The digital implementation of the IF hybrid allows to calibrate-out imbalances of the analog components to achieve very high sideband rejection. The calibration of RF imbalances eases the requirements on phase flatness for RF hybrids and gain flatness for mixers and amplifiers, allowing the operation of 2SB receivers at frequencies and bandwidths where purely analog technics are impractical.

Digital receivers of this sort have been demonstrated in laboratory up to 100 GHz (Rodríguez et al. 2014; Rodriguez 2015) showing a SRR in excess of 45 dB. This corresponds to 20 to 30 dB (a factor 100 to 1000) above state-of-the-art performance of analog 2SB receivers.

This article reports the integration of an FPGA digital sideband separating spectrometer with an ALMA Band 9 2SB prototype receiver to demonstrate, for the first time, calibrated digital sideband separation at sub-millimeter wavelengths.

2. Measurement setup and procedure

A digital sideband separating receiver was configured using as front-end an ALMA Band 9 2SB prototype receiver that uses AIN junctions as mixing elements (Khudechenko et al. 2011). The only modification respect to the original receiver was the removal of the IF hybrid to access the receivers I and Q channels independently. The experimental setup, shown in figure 2, allows the input signal to be injected into the receiver with a cold and ambient background using a beam-splitter and a chopper.

The IF outputs of the I and Q SIS mixers are amplified, equalized and then mixed again using a pair of DSB mixers and a second LO (LO2) which selects any 1 GHz segments within the 4–12 GHz range to be down-convert to the 0–1 GHz baseband. Two 1.5 GHz low pass filters are used after the second down-conversion to reject out-of-band noise and spurious, as well as...
to prevent LO₂ leakage getting into the ADCs. Since the second downconversion is DSB, noise and spurious signal coming from warm LNAs and the LO₂ will not be suppressed. Sufficient gain in the cold LNAs should render the IF-chain noise contribution negligible.

The measurement procedure requires an initial calibration run which measures the amplitude and phase imbalances of the entire analog front end. These imbalances are then compensated for each spectral channel in the FPGA. The balanced I and Q mixer outputs are then recombined in a digital IF hybrid to produce two (LSB and USB) 2048 channels spectra with very high sideband rejection. After calibration a test tone is swept across the RF band and measurements are taken with ambient and cold backgrounds across the IF. The data is then used to calculate the sideband rejection using the method described in [Kerr et al. 2001] which allows an SRR measurement independent of the test tone power. Details of this technique are reported in [Finger et al. 2013] and [Rodríguez et al. 2014; Zmuidzinas 2014]. Typical spectra measured using the prototype ALMA Band-9 receiver, are presented in figure 3.

3. Factors limiting sideband rejection

There are two main effects causing the reduction in digital sideband rejection in this setup. First, the poor isolation between the RF and LO ports in the baseband mixers and within outputs in the LO₂ splitter. Second, the intermodulation products of LO₁ and test source harmonics. Following figure 2, the first issue is that the RF signal entering the baseband mixer leaks to its LO port and is passed to channel Q through the LO₂ splitter. The same happens in the opposite direction, i.e., from channels Q to I. This leaked signal has the same frequency than the main RF signal on each branch, but having a different phase, it will not add up in counter-phase in the calibrated digital IF hybrid. Consequently, it will not be cancelled out. This unrejected signal is detected as a small tone in the order of -40 dBc at the same channel, in the rejected sideband (tone B in fig. 3). Two 6 dB fixed attenuators were placed in the splitter outputs to increase isolation by an additional 12 dB. In this configuration the spurious tone is attenuated below the test setup noise floor, as seen in figure 3.

In addition to the unrejected image tone B, another spurious signal, which is caused by intermodulation of the RF test source and the first LO harmonics, can be seen (tone C in fig. 3). They appear because the LO and RF sources are based on ×3 and ×9 multipliers and harmonics up to -20 dBc can be generated. In combination with the high nonlinearity of the SIS-mixers parasitic signals are produced. Even though these spurious signals are not limiting the suppression of the main test tone, it does limit the spurious free dynamic range of this receiver to about -45 dB. As we will explain below, it does also produce false reading of SRR when it falls into the same channel where the test tone is tuned.

Even with a perfectly clean LO, and fully isolated I and Q channels, there are others, more fundamental factors, limiting the sideband rejection than can be achieved by this technique. The most critical is the Spurious Free Dynamic Range (SFD) of the ADC chips. For the hardware used in this experiment the average SFD is close to 55 dB, so it is not the limiting factor here, but for lower frequency receiver, where low harmonic content and high isolation can be achieved, the ADC SFD has been reported as the limiting factor for a usable sideband rejection [Finger et al. 2013].

Finally, numeric error due to the limited number of bits available for data representation within the FPGA and the calibration error due to the limited spectral resolution are possible limiting factors for SRR. In one hand, we have and 18+18 bits (real and imaginary) data type in the FFT, which allows an SRR measurement independent of the test tone power. In the other hand the calibration error depends on the gain and phase slopes to be compensated within the 1 GHz baseband. If we assume a receiver gain variation less than 3dB/GHz and a phase ripple less than 90°/GHz the limit to the SRR imposed by our 1024 points calibration is in the order of 70 dB. Both limits are considerable above the SFDR of the fast ADCs needed for this application, so the practical limit for usable SRR is imposed by ADC SFDR performance.

Summarizing, SRR could be improved by redesigning of the LO₁ and RF test-source to reduce harmonic content and also modifying the LO₂ splitting scheme for better I-Q isolation. I-Q isolation can be improved by adding isolators or amplifiers after the LO₂ splitter. LO₁ and RF-source spurious content could be reduced by adding waveguide filters after each multiplication stage. If both modifications are applied, we could improve SRR by an additional 5–10 dB where we will hit the 55 dB limit imposed by the ADCs SFDR.

4. FPGA firmware

The ROACH-I FPGA open platform [CASPER-Berkeley 2015] was used to implement the sideband-separating spectrometer. The FPGA firmware used here, and presented schematically in figure 4, was similar in function to the one described in [Finger et al. 2013] and [Rodríguez et al. 2014; Zmuidzinas 2014]. However, for this work, it features optimized versions of the calibration and sideband separating spectrometers. These enhanced spectrometers were implemented using a technique called floor-planning, which involves human-aided physical disposition of logical resources over the FPGA chip area, to minimize delays and equalize data paths lengths. Using this technique, faster clock speeds of those reachable by the use of automatic compilation tools can be reached. The software used to perform the floor-planning was Xilinx ISE, PlanAhead. The floorplanning strategy
consisted in defining areas in the chip to target the compilation of the spectrometers different subsystems, and localize those areas in a way consistent with the data flow structure. This not only reduces data path lengths (and therefore delays) within subsystems in the chip, but also the delay-difference between parallel processing branches. The optimized design (see figure 5) doubled the clock frequency achievable by the automatic compilation tools, reaching an instantaneous bandwidth of 1 GHz per sideband [Alvear, 2013].

Fig. 4. FPGA firmware top-level block diagram. From left to right main subsystems are: ADC hardware interface, Polyphase Filter Bank (PFB), Complex vector multipliers (C1-C4), Complex Adders (+), Power blocks ( )^2, and vector accumulators.

Fig. 5. Logic resources allocation in the FPGA (Virtex 5) chip surface before and after floorplanning. Each color represents a processing subsystem depicted in figure 4. Automatic place and routing algorithm implements the processing subsystems using logic resources scattered across the chip. Floorplanning optimizes resource location to minimize delay and delay-difference through the parallel processing pipeline.

5. Results

Figure 6 shows the SRR for the entire ALMA Band-9 RF band using the technique presented above. LO\textsubscript{1} was swept from 614 to 710 GHz with 8 GHz steps, while LO\textsubscript{2} was swept from 4 to 11 GHz for each LO\textsubscript{1} setting. Analog sideband rejection performance [Khudchenko et al., 2011] is shown for comparison.

The amplitude of such intermodulation product is highly dependent on frequency; consequently for some LO settings the dispersion and dropping points are larger than for others.

Finally, the more consistent drop of SRR measured on LSB between 620 and 630 GHz is explained by a reduction in signal to noise ratio due to a drop in the test tone amplitude. This produces the fundamental tone to decrease in amplitude while the rejected tone was already below noise floor. It is important to mention that an integration of 134 milliseconds was used in our setup. More integration time would help SRR measurements in this part of the band.

Fig. 6. Calibrated digital sideband rejection ratio. LO\textsubscript{1} was swept from 614 to 710 GHz with 8 GHz steps. LO\textsubscript{2} was swept from 4 to 11 GHz for each LO\textsubscript{1} setting. Analog sideband rejection performance [Khudchenko et al., 2011] is shown for comparison.

Fig. 7. A case of strong spurious contamination for LO\textsubscript{1}=686. Arrows indicate the direction of movement of each spurious signal while sweeping up the fundamental tone.
6. On the calibration stability

Thermal stability of the calibration has been demonstrated in (Finger et al. 2013) by thermal cycling the IF plate by 10 K for three times with no measurable degradation of SRR. In this work, the RF part of the receiver is inside a cryostat with very good (0.1 K) thermal stability so we do not see a need of recalibrating the receiver due to thermal stability. Stability of the calibration after SIS mixers defluxing can be partially estimated from the work of (Bout et al. 2008), where SIS junctions were subjected to 40 defluxing cycles with very minor changes in conversion characteristics, warranting a stability better than 0.1 dB for AlN junctions. This stability ensures an SSR above 40 dB without a new calibration. SIS phase delay stability after defluxing has not been particularly evaluated in published works, but ALMA and other observatories, which use analogue 2SB SIS receivers, have not reported significant SRR degradation to our knowledge, which suggest that phase delay of SIS junction do not change by important amounts. Therefore, we considered that recalibration will not be needed frequently and probably recalibration after each cryostat thermal cycle would be sufficient.

7. Conclusions

An ALMA Band-9 2SB prototype mixer was integrated with a digital sideband separating spectrometer based on FPGA. After calibration, full RF/IF band measurements were performed achieving an average sideband rejection of 45.9 dB and above 40 dB for 93% of the band. Although some frequency points dropped below 35 dB, this is explained as limitations of the test setup and LO1 source purity. Therefore, the digital receiver performance exceeded the analog receiver SRR by 27 dB on average.

The achieved SRR is high enough so that sideband contamination becomes negligible for any known astronomical application.

The ability of calibrating this submillimeter receiver achieving very high sideband rejection suggests that higher frequency 2SB receivers might be implemented using this technique, reaching image rejection levels otherwise impossible by purely analog means.

The measurements have also shown that to observe complex sources with line intensity ratios in excess of 30 dB, LO cleanliness might be an issue at submillimeter wavelengths.

Even though the instantaneous bandwidth of this digital receiver is 1 GHz per sideband, scaling the bandwidth up has no conceptual limitations and involves only stacking several digital boards.
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